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INJURY DATA: FOUNDATION FOR BETTER SAFETY POLICIES

We all want a society where people of all ages can live to their full potential. Promoting safety and in preventing accidents and acts of violence deliver an important contribution. In all age-groups the quality of life can be significantly improved by a higher level of safety, e.g. in living and working environments, of vehicles, buildings, consumer products and by promoting the use of personal protective equipment.

Injuries1 are an important and largely preventable public health problem. In fact, with almost one quarter of a million fatalities each year, injury is after cardiovascular disease, cancer and respiratory disease the fourth most common cause of death within the EU. Accidents and injuries are the leading cause of death in children, adolescents and young adults.

The information that is available tends to focus on fatal injuries. However, as will be explained in chapter 2, injury-deaths are only ‘the tip of the iceberg’:

- For every person killed, many more are seriously injured and a large proportion of them will be permanently disabled as a result.
- Taking all age groups together, one out of twelve hospital admissions in the EU relates to an injury.
- Across the board, injuries take a significant share in the total health care expenditures in today’s society. More than 48 million days of hospital care represent about 8% of all days of hospital care [1].
- The number of cases treated in emergency departments outstrips by a factor of about 6.6 the number of injury patients admitted to hospitals [2].
- The direct medical care costs of injuries treated in hospitals (inpatients and outpatients) in the EU is estimated to be at least 78 billion Euro each year [3, 15].

Thus, injury is a major cause of mortality as well as morbidity and is an important contributor to ever-rising health care costs. While the health burden of communicable diseases is reducing owing to better prevention and treatment, injury morbidity remained almost unchanged over the past decades, resulting into an increased share of injuries in the total burden of ill health.

Data needs

Injury data are essential for making prevention actions more effective. It is a critical tool for activating stakeholders, for targeting high risk groups and for addressing high risk products and environments.

Injury data are important in order to (see Figure 1.1):

- enable proper assessment of the health burden of injury at regional, national- and EU-level;
- identify risks related to specific age-groups, activities, settings or environments, and responsible policy or legal domains;
- facilitate decision making on priority issues and target groups to address;
- identify the proper mix of prevention measures that tackle the actual risk factors and target audiences; and to
- measure whether the targets of prevention policies and actions are being met.

Injury data are relevant for a wide range of decision makers and organisations, such as: the European Commission services and national governmental departments and agencies; European and national standardization and certification bodies; health and social insurers; professionals in the public health sector; care and social services providers; manufacturers and designers; hospitality service providers; and civil society organisations.

In the fields of work safety and road safety, the need for injury information has been acknowledged long ago and dedicated reporting systems have been in place for a number of decades and are used at the EU-level. For work safety, accident reports from public, social or private insurance organisations and labour inspectorates provide the basis for the European statistics on accidents at work (ESAW) [4]. For road traffic injuries, in all member states information is being collected by police and these police reports provide the basis for the data shared through the Community database on Accidents on the Roads in Europe (CARE) [5].

These sources of data are most helpful, but far from complete as many accidents still go un-reported. For instance, studies based on data from emergency departments reveal that police statistics seriously underestimate the true number of road injury victims as they cover less than half of the pedestrian and bicycle injuries that are actually treated in emergency departments.

---

1 At first, injury is a medical diagnosis. Its external causation is primarily defined by intention. The main physical mechanisms of unintentional (acci-dental) injuries are motor vehicle accidents, falls, poisoning, drowning, and burns. Intentional injuries (or violence) can be divided into the categories of self-directed violence (suicide or self harm), interpersonal violence (e.g. against children, intimate partner, elder persons, acquaintances, or strangers), collective violence (in war and by gangs) and other intentional injuries (including injuries due to legal intervention). Injuries due to medical interventions are not taken into account in the given context. In addition to intention and cause, injuries can also be described according to the setting in which they occur – such as home, leisure time, sports, education, workplace or road transport.
As for home and leisure accidents, which are the predominant causes of injury-related hospitalisation and emergency care, the picture is even bleaker. In many countries in Europe only meagre information is available on the magnitude and characteristics of this important category of injury causes. Thus, governments in these countries are still in the dark over how to address the increasing contribution of injuries to rising health care expenditures and to decline in productivity.

Fortunately, some national initiatives have been taken to start with collecting injury data from emergency departments at hospitals and to exchange data at a European level.

**EU-concern**

Under the Treaty, Article 168 [6], EU actions aim to improve public health, prevent human illness and diseases, and identify sources of danger to human health. More specifically to injury prevention, the Council Recommendation on the Prevention of Injury and the Promotion of Safety [7] issued in 2007, explicitly highlights the socio-economic burden of injuries in Europe. It invites member states, amongst others, ‘to develop a national injury surveillance and reporting system, which should provide comparable information on injuries, monitors the evolution of injury risks and the effects of prevention measures over time and assesses the needs for introducing additional initiatives on product and service safety’.

The Regulation on Community statistics on public health [8] also identifies ‘accidents and injuries’ as one of the core subjects to be included in the European Statistical System of Eurostat. The ECHI (European Community Health Indicators) project has specified which indicators should be provided by all member states and how this should be done. Regarding home, leisure and school injuries (ECHI-29), detailed monitoring in emergency departments of hospitals as well as general capture in the European Health Interview System (EHIS) is recommended [9,10].

More specifically related to consumer product safety, the Regulation on requirements for accreditation and market surveillance of the marketing of products [11] requires member states ‘to establish adequate procedures in order to monitor accidents and harm to health which are suspected to have been caused by products’.

Over the past years, the European Commission stimulated several projects with a view to facilitate EU-level exchange of injury data. In 2010, twelve member states had developed a monitoring system in emergency departments in a sample of hospitals. This resulted in the European Injury Data Base (IDB), which allows for deriving incidence rates of injuries according to place of occurrence, e.g. home, transportation, school, sport and leisure activities [12]. It also delivers data, in line with the so-called IDB-methodology and ECHI indicator 29b requirements [10], for uploading to the Commission’s web-site (DG Sanco section) [2].

**Joint action of MSs**

In 2010, competent governmental authorities from 22 countries signed up for a Joint Action for Injury Monitoring in Europe (JAMIE) aiming to have by 2015 one common hospital-based injury data collection system in their countries. They were joined by two more countries at later stage. By the end of the action, at least 22 countries are expected to report IDB-data in a sustainable manner and in accordance with a harmonised methodology [3]. Such a system is intended to become integrated part of the existing programme for exchange of Community Statistics on Public Health. By 2013, the number of countries collecting data according to the IDB-methodology has been raised to 25, although at quite varying level of quality and sustainability. Owing to the JAMIE project the IDB is now making progress in achieving full EU-coverage in the coming years.

**IDB report - 5th edition**

In this report, the most recent IDB data from the currently participating member states are being presented in combination with European injury data made publicly accessible through Eurostat and WHO.

Chapter 2 presents the broader picture of the total number of injuries according to severity, i.e. fatal injuries, hospital admissions and treatment in Emergency Departments (ED’s), and according to main categories of injury.

In the chapters 3-12 the report presents a snapshot picture of the eight priority topics for injury prevention that are highlighted in the Council Recommendation on Injury Prevention and Safety Promotion of 2007 [7]. These are the eight priority areas for injury prevention, which are related to prime age-groups at risk (children, adolescents and older people), specific risk settings (vulnerable road users, sports environment and the use of products and services) and to violence and self-harm.

The final chapter concludes on the value of injury data collection and the way ahead in view of ensuring continued EU-level exchange of vital injury data with an increasing number of participating countries from 2015 onwards.
Injuries due to accidents and violence are a major public health problem, killing about 240,000 people in the EU-28 each year (annual average 2010-2012) and disabling an estimated number of one million people in the region. Injuries are the fourth most common cause of death, after cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and respiratory diseases.

Every two minutes one EU-citizen dies of an injury. For each fatal injury case, 23 people across the EU are admitted to hospital, 150 are treated as hospital outpatients and many more seek treatment elsewhere, e.g. by family doctors. This means that each year a staggering 5.4 million people are admitted to hospital and 35.7 million people are treated as hospital outpatients as a result of an accident or violence related injury (see figure 2.1).

The EU injury pyramid – additional facts

- The direct medical cost of injuries treated in accident and emergency rooms including hospitalization, rehabilitation and additional care facilities, is estimated at € 78 billion [14, 15, 16]. This is 7.8% of total curative care costs in the EU-28 of 1003 billion [13].
- The cost due to loss of earnings, loss of productivity, quality of life damage and property damage adds greatly to the total societal costs related to injuries [15, 16].
- Currently, every year injuries leave an estimated 1 million people permanently disabled in the EU [17, 18].
- In addition to hospital treatments, many injuries are treated by general practitioners and paramedical staff without being referred to a hospital. In the Netherlands for instance, about one third of all injury patients are treated in hospitals and two thirds are seeking consultation in the office of a general practitioner [15]. The European Health Interview Survey (EHIS) [19] may help to provide in due course an estimate as for the total number of injuries reported by respondents over a period of twelve months. However, due to severe underreporting of injuries in household surveys [20] and different definitions concerning the type of treatment needed, the data from EHIS are not yet comparable with those from IDB.

Figure 2.1: The injury pyramid for the European Union

Table 2.2: Comprehensive view on injuries in EU-28 by injury prevention domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury Type</th>
<th>Road traffic</th>
<th>Work-place</th>
<th>School</th>
<th>Sports</th>
<th>Home, leisure</th>
<th>Total of unintentional injuries</th>
<th>Homicide, assault</th>
<th>Suicide, self-harm</th>
<th>Total of all injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>36 895</td>
<td>4 616</td>
<td>1 154</td>
<td>7 000</td>
<td>104 381</td>
<td>154 064</td>
<td>4 568</td>
<td>59 920</td>
<td>238 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admissions</td>
<td>655 000</td>
<td>241 000</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>402 000</td>
<td>3 633 000</td>
<td>4 968 000</td>
<td>202 000</td>
<td>212 000</td>
<td>5 382 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital outpatients</td>
<td>3 792 000</td>
<td>3 260 000</td>
<td>740 000</td>
<td>5 750 000</td>
<td>20 801 000</td>
<td>34 342 000</td>
<td>1 167 000</td>
<td>190 000</td>
<td>35 700 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All hospital patients</td>
<td>4 494 000</td>
<td>3 360 000</td>
<td>737 000</td>
<td>5 890 000</td>
<td>24 712 000</td>
<td>39 193 000</td>
<td>1 384 000</td>
<td>500 000</td>
<td>41 081 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Comprehensive view on injury

The responsibility for injury prevention is quite dispersed over a variety of policy sectors - depending on the setting in which they occur and the circumstances. Table 2.2 depicts the key figures of the main unintentional and intentional injury categories according to place of occurrence and injury outcomes in terms of severity (death, hospital admission or outpatient treatment). This “comprehensive view of injuries” provides a common view for the stakeholders of the main domains of prevention and indicates potential synergies between sectors.

- Unintentional injuries are responsible for about two thirds of all injury deaths and intentional injuries for about one-quarter (for the rest the intent is undetermined).
- Self-harm and road accidents have the highest risk of leading to death (lethality), indicated by the relation of fatalities to hospital treatments.
- Most of the severe injuries in the EU are treated in hospitals making emergency departments the proper places for injury surveillance.
- With 74% of all hospital treated injuries, home, leisure and sports have by far the biggest share, which is in contrast to the fact, that home and leisure injury prevention programmes appear as far less resourced than programmes for road and work-place safety. In general, the tangible and intangible consequences of home, leisure, and sport injuries are also less well covered by insurance systems compared to the compensation schemes for road and work accidents [21].
- The EU-IDB estimates that road injuries account for 11% of all hospital treated injuries or a total of 4.5 million victims annually. Compared to just 1.7 million injuries reported by the police, this indicates a significant underreporting of the problem in official road traffic statistics and the need for complementary information on road injuries treated in health facilities [5, 22].
- Injury data collected by the health sector provide comparable indicators for other sectors responsible for prevention, and valuable additional information to sectorial monitoring systems e.g. operated by police, justice, labour inspections and consumer safety authorities.

Fatal injuries

- There are enormous differences in the rate of fatal injuries throughout the EU (Table 2.3). It is estimated that almost 100 000 lives could be saved each year if every country in the EU-28 reduced its injury mortality rate to the same level as in the Netherlands or Spain which currently have the lowest rate of fatal injuries in the EU.
- There are also huge differences between EU countries as to the main causes of injury deaths, which is of course related to geographic circumstances (the abundant presence of open water for instance) and are relevant for considering national priorities in injury prevention (Table 2.4).
- Suicides, road accidents and falls are the three main causes of fatal injuries, together representing 59% of all injury deaths (Figure 2.5).
- In almost all age groups, males bear a considerably higher risk of fatal injury than females. Injuries are a leading cause of death for young people, from early childhood until middle age. Although the relative percentage of fatal injuries is decreasing in higher ages, their absolute number increases sharply from an age of about 75 years (Figure 2.6).
- Homicides, fatal road and work-place accidents show the most favourable trends over the past years. Much less impressive is the decrease of suicides and home and leisure accidents (Table 2.7).
- The number of fatal home and leisure injuries, mainly attributed to falls among the elderly, is increasing at a faster rate than demographic trends.
Figure 2.3: Fatal injuries in EU countries: Standardized death rate per 100,000 inhabitants and percentage of injury deaths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>All causes of death (A00-Y89) excluding S00-T98</th>
<th>External causes of morbidity and mortality (V01-Y89)</th>
<th>Injury deaths as % of all cases of death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1,652</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>1,422</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>1,474</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1,534</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1,332</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>1,595</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>1,515</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1,012</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1,074</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average EU-28</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2.4: Fatal injuries by causes of death: Lowest and highest percentage of fatal injuries by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Road traffic</th>
<th>Poisoning</th>
<th>Falls</th>
<th>Fires</th>
<th>Drowning</th>
<th>Self-inflicted</th>
<th>Interpersonal violence</th>
<th>Other causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-28</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>8% (FI)</td>
<td>1% (AT)</td>
<td>8% (LV)</td>
<td>1% (LU)</td>
<td>1% (LU)</td>
<td>6% (GR)</td>
<td>1% (SI)</td>
<td>10% (HR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>51% (GR)</td>
<td>21% (EE)</td>
<td>35% (SI)</td>
<td>6% (LV)</td>
<td>8% (LV)</td>
<td>35% (HU)</td>
<td>7% (LV)</td>
<td>44% (IT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 2.5: Fatal injuries by causes of death in the EU-28

Figure 2.6: Injury death rate (per 100 000 persons) and relative injury mortality (injury deaths in % of all causes of death) in the EU-28 by age groups and gender


Figure 2.7: Fatal injury trends by injury prevention domain (1998–2011, 1998 = 100%) in the EU-28

Source: WHO – Health for All database. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.
Hospital admissions

A total of more than 48 million hospital days is being spent in the EU each year for treating injury patients, which represents 8% of all hospital days [23].

Days of hospital care is the most prominent cost bearer in European health systems. The absolute numbers of days in hospital care are a less meaningful indicator for morbidity as these numbers highly depend on the organisation of national health care systems and geographical conditions. Nevertheless, the percentage of injuries of all admissions (so-called discharges) can serve as rough indicator for injury burden to the health system. There is an enormous difference in the percentage of injury related admissions throughout the EU (Figure 2.8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Rate of injury admissions</th>
<th>% of all admissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>15,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>3.130</td>
<td>12,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>12,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>9,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1.627</td>
<td>9,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>9,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>9,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>9,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1.440</td>
<td>8,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>1.520</td>
<td>8,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>8,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1.706</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>8,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>8,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>7,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>7,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>7,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>6,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>6,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>6,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>6,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>5,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>5,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>4,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of 26 EU countries</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eurostat – Hospital discharge statistics. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Unfortunately, neither Eurostat hospital discharge statistics nor the WHO Health for All database allow for further analyses of the causes of injury as provided for fatalities (figure 2.5). Due to data gaps it is also not possible to establish time series with a similar validity as for fatalities (figure 2.7). Therefore, for most of the further analysis the EU Injury Data Base (IDB) data has been used, which comprises admissions as well as ambulatory treatments.

Injuries treated in emergency departments

While death is the most tragic result of an injury, non-fatal injuries impose a huge burden to health care and social costs and often result in disabilities and a significant reduction in quality of remaining life.

Increasingly more European countries are therefore collecting injury data in emergency departments using a common methodology in order to analyse and compare injury data for policy purposes and to develop more effective prevention programmes. Figure 2.9 shows the IDB data providing countries.

- An estimated total number of 41 million people have to receive hospital treatment for injuries each year - more than 115 000 people each day, of which 5.4 million have to be admitted for severe injuries (Figure 2.1).
- The number of disabled people is expected to rise as a consequence of improved emergency services, treatment and care, which lowers the overall injury lethality but leave more survivors with long-term impairments.
- The injury risk for males exceeds the risk for females in younger ages. Nevertheless from about 65 years onward, women bear the higher risk (mainly due to falls) (figure 2.10).
- Children, adolescents, and persons in advanced age bear the highest risk for injury (figure 2.11).
### Trends

- **Injury fatalities** were decreasing till 2010, but compared to the last reporting period (2008-2010), there is a general increase of 2% (period 2010-2012), though with considerable differences: Reductions took place in the areas of road traffic (-3%), work (-7%), school (-8%), homicide (-3%). The rough estimate for sport remained unchanged. The number of suicides went up (+4%), probably as a result of the economic crisis, as well as the number of fatal home and leisure accidents (+6%), probably a consequence of the increasing share of old and frail persons in EU population.

- **The number of admissions** due to injuries decreased slightly from 5.7 in the last reporting period (2008-2010) to 5.4 million for the current one (2010-2012). As the total number of admissions remained almost unchanged in past years, this means also a decrease of the percentage of injury related admissions.

- **The estimated number of injuries** treated in emergency departments is slightly higher (+4%) than for the last reporting period (2008-2010), which is within the statistical range of inaccuracy.

---

**Figure 2.9** Countries participating in the EU injury data exchange IDB (EU and EFTA member states, EU candidate countries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Start of IDB data collection</th>
<th>Average crude incidence rate (2010-2012) in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>9.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>11.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>10.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>5.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>10.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>9.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>10.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>5.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>5.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>8.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-28 estimate</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.*
**Behind the numbers**
Rožnov, Czech Republic. A woman became an eye-witness of a dramatic scene. In an open window on the first floor of the neighbouring house stood a small child, trying to sit down but then standing up again. It slipped with one foot from the window sill and fell down from a twenty foot height.

Neighbours took care of the crying child, called the emergency service, and contacted the mother and grandmother who had not noticed the accident. Paramedics brought the two-year old boy to the hospital, where he remained hospitalized for observation. “He was extremely lucky as he fell on dense grass. There were some abrasions but otherwise he seems to be fine”, said a police spokeswoman. “I thought he would be dead”, said the eye-witness.

**Figure 3.1**: Leading causes of fatal injuries in children up to 14 years

**Table 3.2**: Rank order of causes of death of children 1–14 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank order</th>
<th>Cause of death for children 1–14 years (ICD-10 codes)</th>
<th>% of all causes of deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>External causes of morbidity and mortality (V01-Y89): injuries</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Malignant neoplasms (C00-C97)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities (Q00-Q99)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diseases of the nervous system and the sense organs (G00-H95)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Diseases of the respiratory system (J00-J99)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: WHO MDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.*

**Facts & impacts**

In this age group in particular, an injury and its disabling consequences have a tremendous impact on the child, the child’s family and society at large.

For children older than 1 year of age, injuries are the main cause of death.

**Fatal injuries**

- Around 3000 children die from injury each year in the EU leaving parents, relatives and friends in deep sorrow and grief. Fortunately, the number of fatal child accidents has decreased substantially in past years but there is still much room for improvement.
- Injury is the leading cause of childhood death in the EU, accounting for 28 % of all deaths of children between 1 and 14 years of age.
- Five types of accidents – road traffic, drowning, violence and neglect, falls (from height), and fires – account for two-third of all fatal child injuries up to 14 years of age (Figure 3.1).
- These five main causes are known to be preventable by appropriate measures, e.g. improving parenting skills and a wider application of child restraint systems, pool fencing, smoke alarms and window guards [24].
- Starting from birth, boys are at a higher risk of incurring a fatal injury than girls. Boys at the age of 14 are almost twice as much at risk of incurring a fatal injury than girls.
- There are considerable differences in the injury fatality rates of children between EU member states. While the average percentage of injury fatalities of all child deaths in the EU is about 10%, it is staggering 25% in Estonia, 23% in Lithuania and 22% in Greece. The important question to research is to what extent are these differential rates due to serious disparities in implementing good practices such as the ones mentioned above?

*Rank order of injuries as cause of death in children in the EU.*

*Children 1–14 years of age*
European initiatives – European Child Safety Alliance

The European Child Safety Alliance was launched in 2000. Child safety experts from more than 30 countries across Europe are now working together to reduce the leading cause of death and disability to children in every Member State in the region. These experts come from diverse fields and settings including medicine, public health, psychology, education, engineering and government to share and advocate for what works in child injury prevention to benefit children and their families.

The Alliance members are working together to provide better information, practical tools and resources to support adoption and implementation of evidence-based good practices for the prevention of injury to children and youth in Europe. The current core-project of the European Child Safety Alliance, called TACTICS, which was initiated in April 2011, builds on the successful work of previous EU-funded projects such as the previous Child Safety Action Plan (CSAP) project.

More at: www.childsafetyeurope.org

Non-fatal injuries

- According to EU IDB estimates 9 million children under the age of 15 have to be treated for an injury in EU hospitals each year. This represents 22% of all hospital treated injuries, whereas children under the age of 15 represent only 16% of the total population [25].
- This means that more than 1 out of 10 children in the EU each year experiences an injury requiring emergency medical attention. 19% of these children have to be admitted to hospital due to the severity of the injury (EU IDB estimate).
- In children under 5 years of age more than 64% of all injuries occur at home, with falls being the most frequent injury mechanism (Figure 3.3).
- Public roads are the place of injury occurrence for about 10% of all child injuries, with more severe consequences compared to other injuries. Head injuries were diagnosed in 23% of all transport related child injuries. The main role of children in traffic injuries is as riders (59%), passengers (29%), and pedestrians (10%; EU IDB estimates).
- Estimated 119 000 children in the EU require emergency medical treatment each year due to injuries directly caused by playground equipment. A further 81 000 child injuries by toys and 30 000 cases to infant or child articles. "Infant or child products", mainly used in the home environment such as high chairs, changing tables and cots are expected to comply with high safety standards as they are meant to be used by or for a very vulnerable user group, but still appear in the top-ten list. The figures suggest there is still much room for improvement [26].

### Table 3.5: Top 10 "Infant or child products" involved in child injuries (under 5 years of age)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank order</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slide, sliding board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Swing, swing set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High chair, booster seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Other specified toy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Baby pram, buggy, pusher, stroller, carriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Other playground climbing apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Changing table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Other specified playground equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Marble, bead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tricycle (child's) or other ride-on toy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Trends

- Injuries are by far the main cause of childhood deaths right throughout Europe. However there is an impressive downward trend in child fatalities in past years. It can be assumed that this is largely the effect of intensive child safety programmes which have taken place in all EU countries, focusing on fatal risks (e.g. promotion of child restraint systems, pool fencing, smoke alarms, and window lockers).
- In spite of the decrease in fatal child injuries, the frequency of hospital treated injuries shows an upward trend. This indicates that the lethality of child injuries has been reduced over recent years, but that there still occur an increasing number of accidents among children that require consultation in an emergency department.
Behind the numbers
Rimini, Italy. An 18 year old tourist from Germany suffered severe head and spine injuries, when he jumped from the cliffs at a beach resort close to Rimini, Italy. His head hit the rocky seafloor. Other tourists rescued him quickly when he emerged floating unconsciously. After first aid he was brought to a hospital in Rimini where he was taken into intensive care, according to a police-spokesman.

Eye-witnesses reported that the young man actually may not have intended to jump, but was exploring the dangerous cliffs by foot and may have lost balance at some stage. At the date of the report, it was not yet known whether the young man would remain permanently disabled.

Figure 4.1: Leading causes of fatal injuries in adolescents between 15-24 years

Table 4.2: Leading causes of death in adolescents between 15-24 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank order</th>
<th>Cause of death for adolescents 15-24 years (ICD 10 codes)</th>
<th>% of all causes of deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>External causes of morbidity and mortality (V01-Y89): injuries</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Malignant neoplasms (C00-C97)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diseases of the circulatory system (I00-I99)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diseases of the nervous system and the sense organs (G00-Q99)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified (R00-R99)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facts & impacts
Compared to early childhood, adolescents are expanding their radius of action significantly wider in all dimensions. This is also reflected by the diversity of activities and settings in which injuries among young people tend to occur, e.g. in school, at work, in traffic, at home and in leisure time activities. As this is also the age when young people start to participate in motor vehicle traffic and in work environments, injury rates peak between the age of 15 and 24. Injuries are by far the number one killer in this age group.

Fatal injuries

- Annually, 18,400 young people between 15 and 24 years of age die due to an injury. Statistically, this translates to 31 deaths per 100,000 or 1 injury-death per 3,200 adolescents each year.
- These dramatic statistics make injury the leading cause of death among adolescents, accounting for 57% of all deaths in this age group in terms of relative injury mortality.
- The risk of a fatal injury increases significantly after the age of 14, especially for boys, and leads to a relative injury mortality rate of 69% in males between 20 to 24 years of age, and 45% for females (figure 2.6).
- Two main causes account for 71% of all fatal injuries among adolescents: road injuries (46%) and suicides (25%; Figure 4.1).
- For both causes the rates per 100,000 adolescents are approximately 4 times higher for boys.
- Poisoning, mainly through medication and alcohol, ranks third on the cause of death list for adolescents. The share of 5% represents around 800 victims annually. This does not include deaths due to psychotropic drugs that are often classified under the categories for "mental and behavioural disorders" of the WHO ICD-10 cause of death catalogue.
- There are considerable differences between countries in the injury fatality rates for adolescents. For example, injury in young people between the age of 15 and 24 accounts for 63% in the average of all EU countries, but for 77% in Estonia and 76% in Finland, while only for 48% in Portugal and 50% in Bulgaria.
EU Initiatives: AdRisk – a joint action

Safety regulations and awareness campaigns have significantly contributed to reduce the number of injuries in young people between 15-24 years of age over the last few decades, particularly in the fields of road safety and safety at work. However, compared with the age group of children, there is relatively little understanding of the developmental aspects of youth risk taking and associated behaviours.

The European “Action on Adolescents and injury Risk” (AdRisk) took the available evidence on risk perception of young people and communicating with adolescents into account. Working together with youth and seeing them as a resource was the core principle applied in this action, which led to the production of a range of support materials for strengthening youth competence to tackle risk and cope with challenges and peer-group pressure.

The “split second”, in which a young person decides whether or not to take a certain risk, is essential. Therefore, the AdRisk core message is “a split second can change your life”. The so-called “Split the Risk” tools and videos are helping young people to become aware of instances of increased risks. The AdRisk-programme is being implemented in a number of countries including Austria, Finland, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands and the UK.

More at: www.eurosafe.eu.com

Table 4.4: Mode of transport of adolescents (15-24) involved in road injuries (% of all road injuries in this age group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>% of All Road Injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four wheeled motor vehicle</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedal cycle</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-wheeled motor vehicle</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Table 4.5: Injury ranking of ball team sports in adolescents (15-24; % of all ball team sports in this age group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ball Team Sport</th>
<th>% of All Ball Team Sports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handball</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Non-fatal injuries

- According to EU IDB estimates each year, 7.5 million adolescents between 15 and 24 years of age have to be treated for an injury in EU hospitals. This represents 18% of all hospital treated injuries, whereas adolescents only represent 12% of the total EU population [25].
- The rate of hospital treated injuries in young people is highest in the domains of “home & leisure” and sports injuries, with a significantly higher rate for men (Figure 4.3).
- For men, the rates of road traffic, paid work and interpersonal violence are in a relatively similar range between 13-21 injuries per 1 000. The tables 4.4 and 4.5 provide some additional details about road and sport injuries of young people as available in the EU IDB.
- For some injuries the significant differences between boys and girls reflect lifestyle and activity preferences leading to different exposure patterns. In sports, for instance, this has been confirmed by various surveys: there are clearly more European boys (71%) than girls (50%) practicing sport between 15-24 years of age [27].
- As for traffic injuries, differences in risk taking behaviours and time spent in traffic seem to be more relevant for explaining sex differences in injury numbers.
- The share of intentional injuries is about 7% for both sexes together. In young women self-harm and assault take an equal share, but in young men there are many more injuries through assault than through self-harm (Figure 4.3).

Trends

- In contrary to the general downward trend in work-place accidents, the share of home, leisure and sport injuries in adolescents remains unchanged which calls for reinforced actions for improving risk competencies of adolescents, e.g. through programmes at schools and in sport clubs.
- In the area of road transport, the share of pedal cycle injuries is increasing, and has “overtaken” the category of injuries involving two-wheeled motor vehicles.
Behind the numbers

London Borough of Richmond. A 72-year-old woman fell and broke her hip. She slipped near a large tree where the roots had pushed up the path and caused it to slope. A witness, 40, who waited until the ambulance arrived, said the woman was on her way to visit her new-born great-grandchild when she had the accident.

A neighbour said she wrote to the Council last month complaining that the pavement was dangerous as broken tiles forced wheelchair users and mothers with pushchairs to go into the road in order to get along. A council spokesman said: “we inspect all residential roads and pavements on a regular basis and any defects that are deemed hazardous or dangerous are repaired by the council’s highway teams within specified timescales”.

Figure 5.1: Fatal injuries amongst older people (60+) by causes of death

Figure 5.2: Fatal injuries amongst older people (60+) by causes of death and gender

Facts & impacts

The highest injury mortality rates relate to people at age 60 and above, falls being a major cause of these deaths. Fall injuries also account for a higher than average hospitalisation rate and an excess share in the direct medical cost due to injuries in this age group.

A “greying” Europe calls for increased investments in injury prevention for older people as indicated by the following figures.

Fatal injuries

- Annually, about 123 000 people in the EU aged 60 and above die from the consequences of injuries, which represents 52% of all injury deaths. Nevertheless, the 123 000 fatalities represent only 3% of all deaths in this age group.
- The injury fatality rates (per 100 000) rise sharply after the age of 70 for both women (from 40 to 137 in the age group 80 to 85) and men (from 95 to 229; Figure 2.6).
- Falls are the main cause (29%) of fatal injuries among older people, in particular for women. Suicides (16%) and road traffic (9%) rank second and third (Figure 5.1).
- More than one quarter of all people suffering a hip fracture die within a year of falling and another 50 percent never return to their prior level of mobility and independence [28].
- Also in the senior population differences exist in injury fatality between the EU member states - e.g. the share of injury deaths to all deaths of people above 65 years of age ranges from 1% in Greece to 6% in Slovenia).

Source: WHO MDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Source: WHO MDB, Eurostat COD. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.
European initiatives: Falls Prevention Network
The EU-Strategic Plan for Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing has been launched by the Commission in 2012. This plan contains various elements, one of them aiming to have by 2015 validated and operational programmes for early diagnosis and prevention of falls implemented in at least 15 different regions of the EU. These programmes should use innovation in organisation, delivery and business models, injury risk registers and services.

The ultimate objective of the EU-innovation plan is to add an average of two active healthy life years to the lives of European citizens by 2020. The ProFouND-project, “Prevention of Falls Network for Dissemination”, is one of the projects supported by the EC in this framework. This project raises awareness of the importance of the issue and will enhance the availability of ‘proven’ tools and guidance for multidisciplinary care, early diagnosis (risk assessment) and falls prevention management. It will support Member States, regions/municipalities, care organisations and insurance companies to invest in their individual programmes by providing guidelines, toolkits and evidence-based standards of care.

Website: www.fallsprevention.eu

Non-fatal injuries

- The total of older people (60 years of age and plus) that have to be treated for an injury in EU hospitals is estimated to be 8.5 million each year. The burden of treatment, rehabilitation and care is tremendous, both for society and the respective families.
- According to EU IDB estimates a hospital contact for an injury is required each year by 70 of 1,000 persons in the age of 60 or above. From an age of about 70 years, the rate increases considerably for both sexes, but faster for women. Women between 80 to 84 years bear a risk which is 50% higher than that of men of the same age (figure 2.9).
- About one third of all hospital contacts after an injury lead to admission (EU IDB estimate). As the average length of stay in hospital increases with age (by 1 day for every 5-year age group from 60 years onwards), older people “consume” 74% of all injury related hospital days [23]. For women this share is even higher at 78%, their share in the total population is 23% [25].
- Home (almost 51%) and “public road” (17%) are the most relevant places for occurrence of non-fatal injuries amongst older people (Figure 5.3).
- Significant gender differences exist in particular in activities related to home injuries (see Figure 5.4) which seem to primarily reflect differences in roles men and women fulfil at home.
- Gender differences in the rates of hip fractures are generally attributed to osteoporosis as a predisposing factor in women for sustaining fractures. About 30% of injury discharges of women in the age group over 65+ are diagnosed with “hip fracture” (ICD-10 codes S72) as opposed to “only” 20% for men [23].

Trends

- Since 2001 the share of people between 65 and 79 years of age has grown from 11.6 % to 13.0% in the EU-28 population in 2013; the population older than 79 years from 3.5 to 5.0 % [25]. If this trend continues, 24% of the EU-28 population will be 65 years or older by the year 2030 [29].
- Age is also the biggest risk factor for long term dependency on care. According to a prognosis of the FELICIE-study (Future Elderly Living Conditions in Europe) dependency on care until 2030 will increase by 20% for women and by 80% for men after the age of 75 [30].
- The share of older people in all fatal injuries in the EU has been steadily rising by approximately 1% every two years (from 38% in 1990 to 50% in 2012).
- Also the number of non-fatal injuries among older people is rising. Compared to the past reporting period 2008-2010 the estimated number of non-fatal injuries among older people has raised from 6.8 to 8.5 million (25%). Partly this is due to the real increase of very old persons, but mainly the consequence of a better coverage of older patients in the national IDB samples.
Behind the numbers
Baia Mare, Romania. A 45 year old male car driver was not attentive when he turned left and hit a 22 year old cyclist who fell and hit the ground. The victim suffered multiple injuries and was transported by ambulance to the Emergency Department.

The car driver declared that he didn’t see the cyclist due the fact that his vision was impaired by sun glare. “I did not see the cyclist. I do not know where he came from. From the road, the sidewalk, I just do not know. I drove extremely carefully. He came and jumped over me” declared the car driver. “He failed to give the right of way to a bicyclist who drove according to traffic rules on the opposite lane. The car driver was tested for alcohol and the results were negative” declared a representative of the Traffic Police Department.

Facts & impacts
Between 1991 and 2009 the number of passenger cars per 1 000 inhabitants in EU27 territory has increased by 42% [31]. As a consequence, road transport is one of the most dangerous systems that people have to deal with on a daily basis. Bikers, bicyclists and pedestrians and in particular children, seniors, frail persons and persons with disabilities are at above average risk of road traffic injury. Moreover, these groups bear a considerable risk of accidents that occur without an immediate counterpart being involved [32]. These risk groups deserve to be prioritised in current actions for road safety [33].

It is well established that physical activities such as cycling and walking provide health benefits and should be promoted as healthy alternatives to car use for short journeys. However, these alternative modes of transportation need to be significantly improved as to the levels of safety provided to their users.

Fatal injuries
- Deaths in road transport account for 15% of all injury fatalities (Table 2.2). According to WHO mortality figures, the recent toll of road transport in the EU is about 37,000 fatalities per year.
- On average in the EU one-third of these transport injury victims are vulnerable road users (VRU; Table VRU): 19% pedestrians, 10% motorcyclists and 5% pedal cyclists (Figure 6.1).
- Considerable differences exist between EU countries in the percentage of VRU of all road transport injuries: the highest percentages are 41% for pedestrians in Slovakia, 29% for motorcyclists in Cyprus and 26% for pedal cyclists in the Netherlands (Figure 6.2). It is obvious, in particular from the figure for bicyclists in the Netherlands that these differences reflect differences in exposure.
- On EU average, 45% of pedestrian and 51% of bicycle fatalities are recorded in the age group 60 and above (Figure 6.3); these percentages are clearly not in proportion with their share of the population.
EU Initiatives: EU Transport Safety Policy

The new EU road safety policy aims to cut European road deaths by 50% by 2020 compared to 2010. To do so, it seeks to make users, vehicles and infrastructure safer through a mix of measures – national cooperation, sharing best practices, research and studies, awareness campaigns and possibly regulation. More specifically this would involve: creating a European road safety education and training strategy; implementing EU-wide road safety legislation to ensure all EU nationals are treated equally when traffic rules are broken; making vulnerable road users safer by improving communication; and improving tools for collecting and analysing accidents [34]. The European Transport Safety Council, which counts around fifty transport safety knowledge centres across Europe, serves as an important source of expert advice on transport safety matters to countries and the EC. It seeks to identify and promote effective measures on the basis of international scientific research and best practice in areas which offer the greatest potential for a reduction in transport crashes and casualties. It provides factual information, in the form of scientific reports, fact sheets and newsletters, in support of high safety standards in EU harmonisation, the implementation of best practices and transport safety research.

More at: www.etsc.eu

Table 6.4: Vulnerable road users and injury severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury outcome</th>
<th>All road users</th>
<th>Vulnerable road users (VRU)</th>
<th>% VRU of all road users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>37 000</td>
<td>14 000</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admissions</td>
<td>655 000</td>
<td>407 000</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital outpatients</td>
<td>3 792 000</td>
<td>2 326 000</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All hospital patients</td>
<td>4 494 000</td>
<td>3 178 000</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Non-fatal injuries

- According to EU IDB estimates 4.4 million road injuries per year have to be treated in EU hospitals (Table 2.2 and 6.4).
- On average in the EU 61% of road injury victims are vulnerable road users (hospital admissions and outpatients): 5% pedestrians, 15% motorized two wheelers, 41% pedal cyclists (Figure 6.5).
- Hospital data are an important complementary source to police records in particular for better assessing injury severity and long term consequences (disabilities) [35].
- Head injuries have a high share in all road injuries, in particular in injuries which require hospital admission. Their share is 36% for pedestrians, 34% for bicyclists, and 23% for two-wheeled motor vehicles (Figure 6.6).

Trends

- Despite clearly declining numbers of road traffic deaths (42% between from 2001 to 2010), the numbers of non-fatal injuries show a much lesser favourable trend in most EU countries (10%) [36].
- The percentage of head injuries of admitted bicyclists is slowly decreasing (from average 40% in 2005-2007 to 34% in 2010-2012), which might be a result of increasing bicycle helmet wearing in Europe.

Underreporting of traffic injuries

According to police records about 1.7 million road traffic users are injured in the EU each year, 1.4 million of which are slightly injured and 300 000 seriously injured [37]. However, compared to EU IDB based estimates of 4.5 million road traffic injuries, 655 000 of which have to be admitted to hospital (see Table 2.2), this indicates a considerable under-reporting of road traffic injuries in police records. Under-reporting in police records has been shown to be in particular high for pedestrians (by a factor of 1.35 for serious injuries up to 2.4 for moderate injuries) and cyclists without any counterpart involved (by a factor of 3.75 up to 8 for serious and moderate injuries, respectively [22]).
Behind the numbers
Erp, the Netherlands. For more than forty years a 66 year old man in the south of the Netherlands, used to entertain neighbours and friends with fireworks at new year’s eve, without any problem... until last time. “Last time, I was lighting my rockets as usual. One rocket did not go off; I thought the fuse had not ignited. When I went in for a closer look, the thing went off directly into my face.”

The fractured cheekbone and broken nose healed within a couple of weeks, but in his right eye socket they had to implant an artificial eye. “I cannot judge distances anymore. Backward parking has become difficult. Recently in a supermarket I stumbled over a rack.” This year the poor man will celebrate New Year’s Eve quietly at home with his wife. “Of course I would prefer to join the outdoor party and watch the fireworks, but I better take care of the one eye I have left,” he comments.

Facts & impacts

The European Union aims to achieve high standards in non-food consumer products with a wide range of sectoral legislation and the General Product Safety Directive [38]. Major progress has been made over the past decade and European Union Consumer Product Safety and Market Surveillance Regulations are currently under revision to enhance their impact and efficiency. Nevertheless, accidents related to the use of consumer products and services continue to occur, partly also due to lack of awareness among consumers and market operators.

Fatal injuries

Information on fatal product related injuries cannot be retrieved from the national cause of death statistics. However, a considerable number of injuries in private homes are related to fires or to hazardous products such as electric equipment and installations, tools, machines, toxic products, and hot substances:

- 60% of fatal “home injuries”, which are not falls or alcohol and drug related poisonings, are caused by injury mechanisms that are relevant for the product safety: e.g. fires, electric current, tools, or hot tap water (Figure 7.1).
- The proportion of product safety relevant causes in “home injuries” translates to an estimate of 5 700 consumer product related fatalities in the EU per year.
- Older people (aged 60 and above) are disproportionately affected by injuries related to hot water fluids and also by fire/smoke; small children on the other hand are disproportionately affected by accidental suffocation/strangulation and choking of objects (Figure 7.2).

Non-Fatal injuries

- Home and leisure are causing 60% of all hospital treated injuries in the EU, and 75 % when sports injuries are included amongst the leisure injuries (Table 2.2).
- 30% of the home injuries are related to specified products, mainly buildings, building components or related fittings (e.g. tiled or wooden floor, stairs, bathroom fixtures), furniture (e.g. doors, chairs, beds), tools or machinery (e.g. chain-saw, ride-on lawnmower) (figure 7.3).
- Judging from the place of occurrence categories of the EU IDB, 9% of the “home, leisure and sports” injuries are estimated to be potentially related to the provision of a service: e.g. injuries in recreational areas (e.g. amusement parks), commercial area (e.g. hotels), residential institutions (e.g. senior residences) or in sport area (e.g. public swimming baths) (figure 7.4).
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EU Initiatives: Collaboration in market surveillance
Effective regulations and coordinated market surveillance in Europe are essential for ensuring that a high level of consumer safety protection is warranted and that a level playing field is established for businesses within the EU. Much has been achieved in the domain of harmonising safety requirements and in ensuring general safety requirements applicable to all consumer products that are brought into circulation within the EU.

However in regard to evidence-based surveillance and enforcement in Europe, there is still a need for improvement. This has led to the creation of the PROSAFE-network, Product Safety Enforcement Forum of Europe. PROSAFE is a non-profit organisation established by market surveillance officers across Europe. The main aim of the PROSAFE is to ensure a core set of best practice techniques and cross-sharing of information and expertise in market surveillance within the European region. It also coordinates a number of joint EU-wide surveillance actions, e.g. on child articles, fireworks, cigarette lighters and lawn mowers that are being put on the market in the region.

More at: www.prosafe.org

Risk assessment with hospital emergency department data
The IDB provides the large number of incidents that are needed to measure the number of injuries associated with the thousands of different consumer products in the marketplace. Data from emergency departments have proven to be an effective source of information for product risk assessment purposes [39]. Although the IDB needs further improvement as for the specificity of information on products that are involved in injuries, the EU IDB has great potential for use in product safety policy development. Over 2009-2012, about 300,000 cases have been added annually to the database, containing many details about activity, place of occurrence, products that were involved in an injury event and short descriptions of how the injury occurred.

Table 7.5 gives an example of the level of specification of products in the EU IDB. Furthermore distinction can be made between products involved in the causation of the incidence (loss of control for instance) or in the causation of the injury itself (injury mechanism), and products moderating the injury risk (e.g. personal protective equipment worn). Narratives provide additional information for “accident scenarios” which can be further analysed by the demography of the victims and severity of injuries [40].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7.5: Percentage of top 10 products causing injuries to children (0-14 years of age)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appliance mainly used in household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building, building component, or related fitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment mainly used for sports/recreational activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire, flame, or smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture/furnishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile machinery or special purpose vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot object/substance nec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant or child product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item mainly for personal use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool, machine, apparatus mainly used for work-related activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utensil or container</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.
**Behind the numbers**

Kitzbühel, Austria. For a 5 year old boy from Tyrol a skiing school class ended with severe injuries. The boy was travelling in a group of eight children when he was run over by an unknown adult male skier.

According to the police, the boy was skiing directly behind his instructor. The skier drove through both in fast and uncontrolled manner and also toppled. He did not care about the child and did not identify himself.

The boy remained unconscious for several minutes and was brought to the city hospital by rescue helicopter. He suffered a double jaw fracture and cuts on his face. Owing to wearing skiing helmet more serious head injuries such as brain injuries were prevented.

**Facts & impacts**

Physical exercise is an essential component of a healthy lifestyle leading to important health gains. However, a sizable proportion of the expected health gains are lost due to sport injuries [41]. As safety concerns are a factor in the decision whether or not to participate, anticipated injury risks are a significant barrier for people to getting involved in sports.

Unfortunately, the usual health statistics poorly cover the incidence of sports injuries. For non-fatal injuries, the EU IDB proves to be a rich source of information on the frequency and patterns of non-fatal sports injuries due to a specific “IDB sports module” [42].

**Fatal injuries**

- As a conservative estimate, about 900 unintentional fatal injuries per year can definitively be related to sport activities (Figure 8.1). These sports categories are derived from WHO ICD mortality codes like “hang-glider accident” (aero sports), “fall from cliff” (climbing), or “fall involving ice-skates, skis” (ice or snow sports). When certain types of drowning (in natural water and swimming pools) and off road bicycle accidents are included in the estimate, about 5 in 1 000 unintentional injuries can be related to recreational and sports activities. This rate translates into an estimate of 7 000 fatalities per year in the EU-28 (about 85% of cases being related to swimming and drowning).

- Figure 8.2 illustrates that adolescents between 15 and 24 years of age are over-represented particularly in “ice or snow sports” and “individual water sports” (e.g. diving), a fact that should be taken in to consideration when targeting injury prevention among adolescents (see also hotspot “Adolescents”).

- Elderly sportspersons (60+) are over-represented particularly in bicycling and equestrian activities. A main target group for the prevention of drowning while swimming (in natural water and swimming pools), should be people above the age of 60 as they account for 27% of all swimming related fatalities (children 1-14: 7%; adolescents 15-24: 12%).
EU Initiatives: Safety in Sports Network

Regular physical activity is essential for keeping fit and staying in good health. However participating in sports also carries a risk of being injured. Fortunately, there are many possibilities to prevent sports injuries, e.g. through making sports infrastructures safer, using protective equipment and focussing training and coaching practices explicitly on injury prevention. As governing bodies of sports organisations have a major responsibility for identifying and managing the risks sports men and women are exposed to, they play a key role in implementing available research evidence and best practices.

The EU “Safety in Sports” network aims to share knowledge on the prevention of acute and overexertion sports injuries and to enhance exchange among European experts from science and practice. It is developing and pilot testing programmes for safety management in a wide range of sport activities, such as snow sports and ball sports and supports the wider implementation of good practices by local, national and EU-level sport organisations.

More at: www.safetyinsports.eu

Table 8.3: 10 popular sports with the highest share of head injuries by type of sports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Share of Head Injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team bat or stick sports</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual water sports</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target/precision sports</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian activities</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeled non-motorised sports</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combative sports</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racquet sports</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power sports</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice and snow sports</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team ball sports</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.

Figure 8.4: EU-28 estimates of hospital treated sports injuries by type of sports and participation (organised / not organised)

Non-fatal injuries

- Annually, about 6.2 million people are being treated in hospital for a sports injury as defined by the EU IDB catalogue of sports [42]. Of those about 7% (or 402 000 cases) have to be admitted for further treatment.
- 33% of sports injuries affect adolescents and young adults (15-24 years of age): see also box for figures about “playing sports” for this age group.
- “Team ball sports” account for about 42% of all (specified) hospital treated sports injuries (Figure 8.4); by specific type of ball sports the ranking is: Soccer (71%), Handball (9%), Basketball (6%), Volleyball (6%).
- One half of sports injuries result from participation in organised sports, while the other half occur during individual (not organised) sporting (Figure 8.4).
- For most types of sports more men are injured than women (Figure 8.5); notable exceptions are acrobatic sports (56% women) and horse riding (91% women). This of course reflects gender preferences as to in the type of sports to engage.
- The specific injury patterns for each type of sport are important to know in order to adequately address for instance the issue of wearing personal protection equipment in sports, e.g. helmets. As an example, Figure 8.3 ranks the main type of sports by their share of head injuries.

Trends

- Injuries in organised sport (e.g. team sports) are decreasing and injuries in individual sport activities are increasing, while the total number of sport related injuries did hardly change over the past years.
- The share of women injured in sports injuries did steadily increase in the previous years (e.g. 26% in 1996 to 36% in 2010), but in current years no further increase took place (now 35%).

Source: EU IDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.
**HOTSPOT INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE**

**Behind the numbers**

Dublin, Ireland. Nine people were stabbed during a show of a popular electro house band at Phoenix Park. A 23-year old man was arrested. According to a news agency he is only one of a number of suspects that the police are searching for in the stabbing spree, which was part of what officials are calling a “significant number” of unprovoked attacks at the show. Investigators believe the suspect in custody stabbed as many as four people and that the other victims’ injuries were a result of fights with knives and broken bottles.

A spokesman for the event said that closed-circuit TV footage had been handed over to police, adding that ticket holders were subject to searches before entering the site. „Every precaution, as far as possible, was taken to ensure the safety and security of the audience at all times“. An Irish newspaper reported that three men remained in hospital, with two in serious but stable condition. The sister of one of the men said that her brother had been stabbed four times in the back by the still unknown assailant and later suffered a collapsed lung.

**Figure 9.1:** Fatalities through interpersonal violence by means of assault

- **Sharp object** 34%
- **Blunt object** 10%
- **Bodily force** 10%
- **Chemical or noxious substance** 1%
- **Firearm discharge** 19%
- **Hanging, strangulation, suffocation** 8%
- **Other or unknown means** 16%
- **Maltreatment, neglect** 2%

*Source: WHO MDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.*

**Figure 9.2:** Fatalities through interpersonal violence by gender and age group

- **Male**
- **Female**

*Source: WHO MDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.*

**Facts & impacts**

Interpersonal violence is an issue of growing public concern and includes domestic violence, child abuse, elder abuse and youth violence. Interpersonal violence takes many forms (physical, mental and sexual) and occurs in different environments (in the family, between intimate partners, in the community, in institutions and at work). It undermines the social and economic conditions in society. Addressing violence requires collaboration across all sectors, including health, education, labour, justice, and human rights. One role the public health sector can play is in making data available about violence-related injuries from routine data systems.

**Fatal injuries**

- About 2% of all fatal injuries in the EU-28, or about 4 600 cases annually, that are recorded in the national cause of death registers are related to homicide (Table 2.2).
- The homicide rate in the EU is highest in the Baltic region (between 5.2 and 6.7 per 100 000 in the three countries); among the other EU member states it ranges from 0.3 in the United Kingdom.
- The average rate of homicide is 1.3 per 100 000 for males and 0.7 for females (the average for both sexes is 1.0).• The most common means in homicides are sharp objects as knives (Figure 9.1).
- The peak in homicide rate for babies (under one year of age) of both sexes highlights the grave and pervasive problem of severe child maltreatment (Figure 9.2).
- The highest proportion of adolescent homicide victims (15 to 24 years) can be found in the categories that involve “sharp objects” (14%) and “firearm” (13%) (Figure 9.3).
- To enhance mortality data coverage, it would be useful to link homicide data with other complementary data (police, media, etc.), and to improve homicide coding practice [43].

*Source: WHO MDB. See Annex “List of figures and tables” for more details.*
EU Initiatives: Violence Prevention Alliance
The Violence Prevention Alliance (VPA) is a global network of WHO Member States and civil society organizations working to prevent violence. VPA members share an evidence-based public health approach that targets the risk factors leading to violence and promotes multi-sectoral cooperation. The Alliance aims to unify the efforts of the main actors in international violence prevention and identify a set of priorities for the field with a view to prioritize violence prevention within the global public health agenda; to build strong foundations for on-going violence prevention efforts; and to promote the implementation of evidence-informed violence prevention strategies on parenting, life-skills, social norms, alcohol, the risks of firearm-related deaths and injuries and services for victims.

As part of their contribution to the Alliance, the UK-Centre for Public Health at Liverpool John Moores University has developed a web based resource. The purpose of this website is to provide a violence prevention resource for policy makers, practitioners and others working to tackle and prevent violence. The website includes abstracts of systematically reviewed literature providing evidence of measures that can work to prevent violence, including key publications and resources on violence prevention.

More at: www.preventviolence.info

Non-fatal injuries
Data from hospital emergency departments provide valuable insight into the current problems of interpersonal violence owing to the specific “IDB violence module”[42]:

- The average proportion of intentional injuries due to interpersonal violence in the EU IDB sample is about 3% (IDB categories “assault” or “other violence”; Table 2.2); and it ranges from 1% (Austria) to 10% (Latvia) among the eight IDB countries that use the “IDB violence module”.
- Altercation (“violent dispute”) is the most frequently specified context of assault (Figure 9.4). Within this category, as well as in all other categories, adolescent victims between 15 and 24 years are with a share of 24% clearly over-represented compared to their share of 12% of the population (Figure 9.5).
- Except for sexual assault (in which category 98% of victims are women), the vast majority of interpersonal violence victims are male (Figure 9.6).
- Also the perpetrators of “violent disputes” are predominately male (92%). 24% of the perpetrators are adolescents (15-24 years) and 68% are adults.

Underreporting of violence
There is abundant evidence of the substantial extent to which injuries due to violence are neither investigated nor reported by the police[44]. The UK government, for instance, has therefore decided to tackle community violence increasingly on injury data derived from emergency departments and not just on information about offences only.

The results of the IDB “violence module” reveal that information about some aspects of interpersonal violence such as “violent dispute” can be quite well obtained in the emergency room setting. On the other hand, details about the “context of assault” and “age and sex of perpetrator”, for instance, were obtained only in about 22% of cases of interpersonal violence collected through IDB. This situation could certainly be improved through a better training of staff in extracting this information from patients, as well as increased awareness among the public of the violence issue and willingness to provide contextual information.

Trends
- While the injuries due to violence across the board have declined, injuries as a consequence of abuse have increased significantly from 4% in the previous reporting period to 14% in the current one (figure 10.4). This reflects probably a substantial change in the readiness of victims and hospital staff to report abuse.
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Figure 9.4: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault

Figure 9.5: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault and age

Figure 9.6: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault and gender of victim
Behind the numbers
January 2013, Dunaújváros, Hungary. The second tallest building in Dunaújváros, the 10 floor high city hall was chosen by a 53 years old teacher for committing suicide. An eye-witness saw the woman preparing to jump and immediately called the emergency number. Only a few minutes later the police appeared on the scene but were not able to prevent the tragedy.

“She did not want to talk to anybody and appeared very determined. When I came up, she sat back on the windowsill with her back toward the open window”, said the police witness, “Before we reached her, she let herself fall. The elementary school teacher was described as in love with life, highly competent and well-liked by children and parents. "Although she experienced some disappointments and expressed herself sometimes bitterly, there was no sign that something was substantially wrong in her life," said a colleague.

Facts & impacts
Suicide and self-harm are important causes of premature death and hospitalisation. Suicidal behaviour is often the consequence of a number of factors that have interacted, including acute stressors and negative life events (e.g. bereavement, job loss, divorce, illness), symptoms associated with an acute episode of mental illness or substance use disorder (e.g., psychosis, depression, intoxication), personality characteristics, social and/or economic circumstances.

While not itself a mental disorder, suicidal behaviour is highly correlated to mental illness and addiction. Studies indicate that more than 90% of suicide victims have a diagnosable mental illness or substance use disorder [45].

Fatal injuries
- Suicide in the EU is among the three leading causes of death in the age group 15-44 for both men and women.
- 25% of all fatal injuries, or about 60 000 cases annually, recorded in the national cause of death registers in the EU-28 are related to suicides (Table 2.2).
- The majority of suicides are committed by strangulation, hanging or suffocation (58%) followed by self-poisoning (13%; Table 10.1)
- The average rate of suicides is 18 per 100 000 for males and 5 for females (the average for both sexes combined is 12 per 100 000; Figure 10.2)
- For both sexes the rate of suicides is increasing with the age. There is a first peak in the age of 50-54, and a second one in the old age of 85+ (Figure 10.2).
- In general, the suicide rate is higher in most of the EU new member states, while southern EU countries together with the United Kingdom have the lowest suicide rates. The current suicide rate is highest in Lithuania (33), Hungary (25) and Latvia (22). The lowest suicide rates were registered in Greece (3), Cyprus (4), Italy and Malta (6).
- Marked sex differences exist in the choice of the methods of suicide (Figure 10.3).
Trends

- Until 2007 the suicide rate in the EU had been steadily declining. Since 2008, most probably due to the economic crises, the rate has increased again. For instance, the figures from the Irish Central Statistics Office confirm that suicides among men in Ireland rose sharply as the economy went into severe recession in 2008.

Non-fatal injuries

Data from hospital emergency departments provide additional insights into the problem of self-injury and suicide attempt, for instance, by identifying the basic epidemiological patterns. Here are some results of the EU IDB “intentional self-harm module” [42]:

- The share of intentional self-harm cases of all EU IDB cases is 1% (Table 2.2). This proportion translates in to an EU estimate of 402,000 people that have to be treated in hospital for intentional self-harm (0.8 hospital-treated injury per 1 000 inhabitants). A relatively high proportion of these cases (53% or 212 000 cases) have to be admitted for further treatment as in-patients.
- 61% of self-harm victims are female; the female share among adolescents is even higher than 69% (Figure 10.5).
- According to the EU IDB, especially adolescents between 15 and 24 years of age (31%) are over-represented in the recorded cases as compared to their share of the population of only 12%.
- Poisoning accounts for the vast majority of injuries diagnosed as intentional self-harm (71% in men as well as women), apparently reflecting a lower lethality of self-poisoning compared to other means.
- The use of “piercing/penetrating force”, resulting in open wounds, ranks second. The latter type of injuries (open wounds) are more likely to be cases of “self-harm” rather than suicidal acts, a distinction which is not yet clearly defined within the EU IDB “intentional self-harm module”.
- “Psychological/psychiatric condition” and “conflict in relationships” are stated as being potentially linked to the act of self-harm (so called “proximal risk-factor”; Figure 10.4).
- 59 in 100 patients were reported to have experienced a previous episode of intentional self-harm.

The IDB intentional self-harm module

The results of the IDB “intentional self-harm module” [42] indicate the potential of this surveillance tool for obtaining broader evidence about the problem of self-injury and suicide attempt. Still, specific information about the “proximal risk-factor” and also “previous intentional self-harm”, for instance, could be obtained only in a limited number of hospitals representing about 9% of cases of intentional self-harm reported through IDB.

The confidentiality of information on patient history as well as the inappropriateness of the ED-setting to establish social and psychological diagnoses in the early stages of the emergency are important barriers to enrich the data collection. Sensitization and training of the persons working in EDs and increased collaboration with mental health and social care staff might help to enhance the informative value of data collected.
CONCLUSIONS AND THE WAY AHEAD

Accidents and injuries place a huge burden on societies and individuals in the Community. In addition to the immense human costs in terms of premature death and years of life lived with disability, a substantial proportion of annual health care costs are related to injuries and European growth and prosperity are being threatened as a result of lost productivity.

Challenges

A number of European and national initiatives have been taken in the past to reduce the frequency of injuries due to accidents and violence. We have been particularly successful in reducing road fatalities, workplace accidents, chemical accidents and some categories of consumer product-related injuries, as for instance is the case for electrical appliances and for toxic household products. Also pre-hospital and hospital trauma care have improved significantly over the past decades and resulted in a sharp decline in death due to injury trauma.

However, the development of non-fatal injuries and long-term disabilities due to injuries has been much less favourable. There is still scope for more effective action to reduce the huge social toll of accidents and injuries, in particular by addressing risk settings that have until now received less attention, such as those occurring at home or in leisure time and those affecting high risk groups such as adolescents and older people.

The current report identifies a few trends in home and leisure accident statistics since the report in 2009.

- In particular injury-related deaths in children decreased significantly over the past few years. This certainly is the result of enhanced child safety programmes and campaigns carried out in all EU-countries, focussing in particular on the most serious and life threatening accidents in childhood. Hopefully these efforts will, in due course, also lead to decreasing injury morbidity in children.
- However, the number of injuries in adolescents and the number of sport injuries seems to have risen over the past five years. This is certainly due to the increased popularity of sports and other leisure outdoor activities, which in itself is an encouraging development. It is evident that the health gains of sports and physical activities can be optimised by proper safety promotion measures.
- The share of the older population in fatal accidents and non-fatal injuries also increased over the past five years. This reflects of course the process of our population growing older, in particular the share of those 75 years and above.

The significant differences in accident and injury rates between member states and within their populations also indicate that there is still great potential for reducing the burden of injuries in countries and in the Community as a whole. Therefore, we need better data for countries to assess the actual health and economic burden and, in that way, to assist them in developing proper prevention policies. These data should be collected in a harmonised manner in order to allow comparability between countries, identify trends and assess the impact of various policies and actions.

A particular challenge is that the political responsibility for injury prevention is vested in diverse policy domains. Thus, while injury is an important determinant of ill health, there are other policy domains, such as consumer protection, sports, education, welfare, transport, employment, justice and research that carry most of the responsibilities for preventive action. Nevertheless, the health sector has responsibility for health information and for health protection, giving it an important role to play in injury prevention, complementary to other policy sectors [46].

Injury data: a health sector priority

The health sector plays a key role in injury prevention as:

- the health sector’s mandate includes preventing and responding to all major health threats and causes of mortality and morbidity including injury; and as
- a substantial proportion of direct cost related to injury is absorbed by the health sector

The health sector is uniquely positioned to collect data, analyse risk factors and to generate multi-sector prevention efforts across a range of sectors.

It is obvious that emergency departments at hospitals provide the best setting for collecting information as this information relates to severe cases, while less severe cases are treated by family doctors or school nurses for instance. Information can also be obtained easily in hospitals on a large number of cases and at low cost. Household surveys, for instance, are more expensive and suffer serious deficiencies and significant underreporting due to memory decay and non-response. Technological developments in medical administration and data linkage, also offer new opportunities for recording information that is relevant for injury prevention.

When looking into the costs of injury data collection, one has to come to the conclusion that the additional costs are only marginally compared to the overall direct medical costs as a result of these injuries [46]. When a comprehensive set of information about causes and circumstances is being collected from a representative sample of injury patients treated in Emergency Departments (EDs), the total direct medical cost of treating injuries will increase by only a marginal 0.2-0.3 pro mille per annum. While at the same time the mere availability of these data will spark off significant injury reduction initiatives and the benefits from such actions will exceed multiple times the additional marginal cost of data collection!
The way ahead

The JAMIE-methodology [3] allows countries to collect accident and injury data from a representative sample of emergency departments and to use a standardized classification for coding the circumstances of the injury-event and its outcome. It complements existing data sources such as the routine causes of death statistics, hospital discharge registers and data sources specific to injury areas, including road accidents and work related accidents.

The two-level system introduced by the JAMIE-project involves the implementation of emergency department datasets at different levels of sophistication:

- the Full injury surveillance Data Set (FDS), previously implemented as the IDB data set; and
- the new Minimum Data Set (MDS).

The combination of much larger amounts of cases at a lower level of detail as to the injury circumstances with data at high levels of detail from a relatively small number of hospitals provides information for a wide range of policy makers and health, transportation and consumer protection authorities.

The MDS is designed to be implemented in many different ways, by using check boxes in existing or newly designed patient records. The simple MDS for Europe supports the development of high level EU and member state injury indicators, being feasible to implement in member states with wide variation in existing practice. The proposed Full Data Set (FDS) reflects the responsibility of a wide variety of agencies and bodies involved in prevention, maximizing the potential to support prevention and research.

It is envisaged that by the end of the Joint Action JAMIE by mid-2014, in at least 22 countries IDB data will be collected in a sustainable manner. Four more countries are expected to have implementation plans in place endorsed by the competent authorities.

However, this requires a strong political commitment from EU-institutions and member states governments and preferably a binding arrangement for all countries to provide ED-based injury data, in order to ensure a continued EU-level exchange of vital injury data from mid-2014 onwards.

In recent Council conclusions [47] the Commission and Member States are invited to cooperate with a view to establishing a sustainable and integrated EU health information system, built on what has been already achieved through different groups and projects, which includes the platform for injury surveillance and ECHI indicator 29b. This offers hopefully opportunities for sustained data collection in countries and their co-ordination at EU-level in line with the methodology and principles developed under the JAMIE-project.
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**Please note:**
- WHO HFA: WHO European Health for All Data Base at http://data.euro.who.int/hfadb/.
- Data from COD, HDS, WHO MDB or WHO HFA: As 2012 data was not available at time of analysis, 2009-2011 was used as the 3-years period when possible. Otherwise, the three most recent years were used.
- Codes regarding to COD, HDS, WHO MDB, WHO HFA are referring to ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision), chapter XIX “Injury, poisoning and other consequences of external causes” or chapter XX “External causes of morbidity and mortality” or equivalent ICD-9 when ICD-10 is not available.
- Data from IDB 2010-2012: All valid EU IDB records (full data sets FDS) from Austria, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Denmark, Greece, Italy, Latvia, Malta, Netherlands, Romania, Sweden, Slovenia, Turkey (years 2010, 2011, 2012) as available by 7 March, 2014 were used (909,671 records).
- Codes regarding to IDB are referring to IDB Coding Manual 1.1 (2009) [42].

**Figure 2.1: The injury pyramid for the European Union**

**Fatal injuries:** WHO MDB, 3 years average of latest available years, EU-28, ICD-10 V01-Y98. Absolute numbers rounded to 1 000.

**Non-fatal injuries (all hospital patients):** EU IDB, 2011-2012. Rounded estimated total number of injury patients treated in emergency departments of hospitals of EU-28: The estimate of 41,081,000 is based on the average incidence rates of injuries from Austria, Cyprus, Germany, Denmark, Italy, Latvia, Malta, Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden, Slovenia, UK for the years 2010-2012 (8.125%) and the average population of EU-28 in the same period (505,613.899).

**Hospital Admissions:** EU IDB, 2011-2012. Rounded estimated number of admissions after ED treatments in EU-28. The estimate is based on the average of the hospitalization rates from Austria, Cyprus, Germany, Denmark, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Sweden, Slovenia, Turkey, UK (13.1%), applied to the estimated total number of all hospital patients.

**Hospital Outpatients:** EU IDB 2011-2012. Rounded estimated number of ambulatory treatments in EDs in EU-28. The estimate is based on the average of outpatient rates from Austria, Cyprus, Germany, Denmark, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Sweden, Slovenia, Turkey, UK (86.9%), applied to the estimated total number of all hospital patients.

**Table 2.2: Comprehensive view on injuries by injury prevention domain**

**Fatal injuries:** Total: WHO MDB, 3 years average of latest available years, EU-28, ICD-10 V01-Y98. Road traffic: WHO MDB (V01-V89, V99, Y850); workplace: WHO HFA-DB, deaths due to work-related accidents; assault/homicide: WHO MDB (X85-Y09, X871); suicide/self-harm: WHO MDB (X60-X84, Y870); school: WHO MDB, place of occurrence = 2; sports: WHO MDB (V100-V189, V800, V904-909, V914-919, V924-929, V934-938, V944-949, W020-029, W158-159, W168-169, X368-369, X951, X960-969, X972, W698-699, W708-709, W738-739, W748-749); home & leisure: total of fatal injuries (238 122) minus cases of all other categories, minus cases of undetermined intent (20 330).

**Non-fatal injuries (hospital admissions, hospital outpatients, all hospital patients):** EU IDB as for figure 2.1. Road traffic: transport injury event = yes; workplace: activity when injured = paid work; assault: intent = assault or other violence; self-harm: intent = intentional self-harm; school: activity when injured = education except school sport; sports: activity when injured = sports or exercise during leisure time or school sports; home & leisure: total of estimated average number of ED treatments minus road traffic, workplace, assault, self-harm, school, sports. Estimates rounded to 1 000.

**Figure 2.3: Fatal injuries in EU countries: Standardized death rate per 100 000 inhabitants and percentage of injury deaths**

Eurostat COD, 2009-2011, EU-28, ICD-10. All causes of death (A00-Y89) and external causes of injury and poisoning (V01-Y89) per region. Standardized death rate per 100 000 inhabitants, percentages of injury deaths of all fatalities (all causes of death A00-Y87 excluding S00-T89).

**Table 2.4: Fatal injuries by causes of death: Lowest and highest percentage of fatal injuries by country**

WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years average of latest available years, ICD-10: External causes of injury and poisoning (V01-Y89); road traffic injuries (V01-V89, V99, Y850), poisoning (X40-X49), falls (W00-W19), fires (X00-X09), drowning (W65-W74), other unintentional injuries (V90-98, V20-W64, W25-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y40-Y86, Y88, Y89), self-inflicted (X60-X84, Y870), interpersonal violence (X85-Y09, X871), other injuries (other codes of external causes of injury and poisoning). ICD-9: external causes of injury and poisoning (B47-B56) road traffic injuries (V01-V89, V99, Y850), poisoning (X40-X49), falls (W00-W19), fires (X00-X09), drowning (W65-W74), other unintentional injuries (V90-98, V20-W64, W25-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y40-Y86, Y88, Y89), self-inflicted (X60-X84, Y870), interpersonal violence (X85-Y09, X871), other injuries (other codes of external causes of injury and poisoning). Percentages of all injury deaths by country.

**Figure 2.5: Fatal injuries by causes of death in the EU-28**

WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years average of latest available years. ICD-10. Categories as in Table 2.4. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

**Figure 2.6: Injury death rate (per 100 000 persons) and relative injury mortality (injury deaths in % of all causes of death)**

Eurostat COD, 2009-2011, EU-28, ICD-10. Injury death rates per 100 000 inhabitants (external causes of injury and poisoning, V01-Y89) by age group and sex; shares of injury fatalities (relative injury mortality) of all fatalities (all causes of death A00-Y87 excluding S00-T89).
Figure 2.7: Fatal injury trends by injury prevention domain (1998–2011, 1998 = 100%) in the EU-28

Figure 2.8: Hospital discharges for injuries per 100 000 inhabitants and percentage of injury related discharges
Eurostat HDS, EU-28, 3 years average of latest available years, ICD-10. All hospital discharges (A00-Z99) and hospital discharges due to injuries, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes (S00-T98) per country. Rates per 100 000 inhabitants and percentages of injury related discharges.

Figure 2.9: Countries participating in the EU injury data exchange IDB (EU and EFTA member states, EU candidate countries) and average crude injury incidence rate
EU-IDB, 1996-2012. First year, in which IDB data was delivered to the EU IDB; average crude injury incidence rates 2010-2012 as notified by data providers.

Figure 2.10: Hospital treated injuries per 1 000 by age group and gender, EU-28
EU IDB, 2010-2012, & Eurostat POP, average population 2010-2012, EU-28. IDB cases per 1 000 inhabitants by age group and gender.

Figure 2.11: Hospital treated injuries per 1 000 by injury prevention domain and age group, EU-28
EU IDB, 2010-2012, & Eurostat POP, average population 2010-2012, EU-28. IDB cases by age-group and prevention domain. Road traffic: transport injury event = yes; workplace: activity when injured = paid work; assault: intent = assault or other violence; self-harm: intent = intentional self-harm; school: activity when injured = education except school sport; sports: activity when injured = sports or exercise during leisure time or school sport; home & leisure: total of estimated average number of ED treatments minus road traffic, workplace, assault, self-harm, school, sports. IDB cases per 1 000 inhabitants by age-group.

Figure 3.1: Leading causes of fatal injuries in children up to 14 years
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Cases with age = 0-14. External causes of injury and poisoning (V01-Y99). Road traffic injuries (V01-V89, V99, Y850–transport-related subcategories only), poisoning (X40-X49), falls (W00-W19), fires (X00-X09), drowning (W65-W74), self-inflicted (X60-X84, Y870), interpersonal violence (X85-Y09, X871), other causes (other codes of external causes of injury and poisoning). ICD-9: external causes of injury and poisoning (B47-B56) road traffic injuries (B47), poisoning (B48), falls (B50), fires (B51), drowning (B521), self-inflicted (B54), interpersonal violence (B55), other injuries (other codes of external causes of injury and poisoning). Percentages of absolute numbers.

Table 3.2: Rank order of cause of death of children 1 – 14 years

Figure 3.3: Main places of occurrence of non-fatal child injuries by age group
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 0-14, by age group and places of occurrence. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 3.4: Mechanisms of home injuries in children under 5 years of age
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 0–4 by mechanisms of injury. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 3.5: Top 10 “Infant or child products” involved in child injuries (under 5 years of age)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 0–4 and product involved = infant or child product. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 4.1: Leading causes of fatal injuries in adolescents between 15–24 years
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Age = 15-24, ICD codes as in figure 3.1. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 4.1: Leading causes of deaths in adolescents between 15-24 years
Eurostat COD, EU-28, 2009-2011, ICD-10. All causes of deaths (A00-Y99) by ICD-10 main chapters, age = 15-24. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 4.2: Injury rates (per 1 000) of adolescents (15-24 years), by injury prevention domain and gender
EU IDB and Eurostat POP, EU-28, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 15-24, by domain and gender. For IDB codes for domains see figure 2.11. Rates per 1 000 inhabitants.

Table 4.2: Mode of transport of adolescents (15-24) involved in road injuries (% of all road injuries in this age group)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 15-24. Table 4.2 gives percentage of all road injury cases by mode of transport. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 4.3: Injury ranking of ball team sports in adolescents (15- 24; % of all ball team sports in this age group)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age = 15-24 and type of sport (sport module) = 1 (team ball sport), selected four types of sport with the highest numbers of injuries. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 5.1: Fatal injuries amongst older people (60+) by causes of death
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Age = 60+. ICD codes as in Figure 3.1. Percentage of absolute numbers.

Figure 5.2: Fatal injuries amongst older people (60+) by causes of death and gender
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Age = 60+, by gender. ICD codes as in Figure 3.1. Percentages of absolute numbers.
Figure 5.3: Places of occurrence of non-fatal injuries among older people (60+)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Age = 60+, by place of occurrence. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 5.4: The share of women (60+) in injuries by activities at home leading to injuries
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Age = 60+ and place of occurrence = home, by gender and activity. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 6.1: Road fatalities by type of road user
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Transport injuries (V01- V89, V99, Y85) by means of transport. Pedestrian (V01-V09), pedal cycle (V10-V19), motor cycle (V20-V29), other road transport (V30-V89, V99, Y85), traffic-related subcategories only. Percentages of absolute numbers.

Figure 6.2: Road fatalities by type of road user and EU country
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Transport injuries (V01- V89, V99, Y85) by means of transport and country. Pedestrian (V01-V09), pedal cycle (V10-V19), motor cycle (V20-V29), other road transport (V30-V89, V99, Y85), traffic-related subcategories only. Percentages of absolute numbers. Only countries with ICD-10 data.

Figure 6.3: Road fatalities by type of road user and age group
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Transport injuries (V01- V89, V99, Y85) by means of transport and age group. Pedestrian (V01-V09), pedal cycle (V10-V19), motor cycle (V20-V29), other road transport (V30-V89, V99, Y85), traffic-related subcategories only. Percentages of absolute numbers.

Table 6.4: Vulnerable road users and injury severity
For column “all road users” see table 2.2.
Column “vulnerable road users”:

Fatal injuries: WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Pedestrian (V01-V09), pedal cycle (V10-V19), motor cycle (V20-V29), other road transport (V30-V89, V99, Y85). Percentages of absolute numbers.


Figure 6.5: Non-fatal road injuries by type of road user

Figure 6.6: Non-fatal road injuries by type of road user and body part injured (only admissions)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with place of occurrence = public road, by mode of transport (transport module) and injured body part. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 7.1: Product and non-product related causes of fatal home injuries
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Cases where fourth digit (place of occurrence) = 0 (home), by selected groups of product related external causes: Suffocation, strangulation (W75, W76), choking, ingestion (W80, W81, W83, W84), electric current (W85-W87), fire, smoke (X00-X09), hot water, fluids (X10-X19), machinery, tools (W21-W29). Percentage of absolute numbers.

Figure 7.2: Product related causes of fatal home injuries by age group
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD codes as in Figure 7.1. Percentage of absolute numbers.

Figure 7.3: Product related non-fatal home and leisure injuries by product category

Figure 7.4: Service related home and leisure injuries by place of occurrence
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with selected places of occurrence, which are probably involving services: 2 (residential institution), 3 (medical service area), 5 (sport area), 10 (recreational or cultural area), 11 (commercial area). Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Table 7.5: Percentage of top 10 products causing injuries to children (0-14 years of age)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with age 0-14 and any infant or child product involved (direct, underlying or intermediate), by product. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 8.1: Fatal sports injuries by type of sports (excluding swimming)
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Selected categories for non-traffic bicycling (V100-V189, non-traffic subcategories only), equestrian activities (V800), boating (V904-909, V914-919, V924-929, V934-939, V944-949), ice/snow (W020-029), mountaineering/ climbing (W158-159), diving (W168-169), avalanche (X368-369), aero (V951, V960-969, V972). Excluded categories for swimming (W698-699, W708-709, W738-739, W748-749). This leads only to rough approximations of sports fatalities, as the categories do not refer specifically to sports. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 8.2: Fatal sports injuries by type of sports (excluding swimming) and age group
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD 10. Selected sport-related categories as defined for figure 8.1 by age group. Percentages based on absolute numbers.
Table 8.3: 10 popular sports with the highest shares of head injuries by type of sports
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with sports module and part of body injured = head, by type of sport (sport module). Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 8.4: EU-28 estimates of hospital treated sports injuries by type of sports and participation (organised / not organised)
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with sports module by type of sport and activity = 3.1 and 4.1 (organised sport) vs. 4.8 (other sports). Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 8.5: EU-28 estimates of hospital treated sports injuries by sports and gender
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with sports module by type of sports and gender. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 9.1: Fatalities through interpersonal violence by means of assault
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Assaults (X85-99, Y00-Y09, Y871), Sharp object (X99), Firearm discharge (X93-X95), Bodily Force (Y04, Y05), Blunt object (Y00), Hanging, strangulation, suffocation (X91), maltreatment, neglect (Y06, Y07), Chemical or noxious substance (X85-X90), Other (any other assault code). Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 9.2: Fatalities through interpersonal violence by gender and age group
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Assaults (X85-99, Y00-Y09, Y871) by gender and age group. Rates per 100 000 inhabitants.

Figure 9.3: Fatalities through interpersonal violence by means of assault and age group
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. ICD codes as in Figure 9.1. Percentages of absolute numbers.

Figure 9.4: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault

Figure 9.5: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault and age
EU IDB, 2010-2012. Cases with violence module by context and age group. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 9.6: Hospital treated cases of interpersonal violence by context of assault and gender of victim

Figure 10.1: Suicides by means of self-harm
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Intentional self-harm (X60-X84, Y870), Sharp object (X78), Firearm discharge (X72-X74), Intentional self-poisoning (X60-X69), Hanging strangulation and suffocation (X70), Jumping or lying before moving object (X81), Drowning (X71), Jumping from a high place (X80), other (any other intentional self-harm code). Percentage of absolute numbers.

Figure 10.2: Suicide rate (per 100 000 inhabitants) by age group and gender
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. Intentional self-harm (X60-X84, Y870) by age group and gender. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 10.3: Suicides by means of self-harm and gender
WHO MDB, EU-28, 3 years of latest available years, ICD-10. ICD codes as in Figure 10.1. Percentages based on absolute numbers.

Figure 10.4: Non-fatal injuries through self-harm by proximal risk-factor

Figure 10.5: Intentional self-harm by gender and age group

Figure 10.6: Self-harm injuries by mechanism of injury and gender
http://ec.europa.eu/health/data_collection/databases/idb/
This report is the fifth edition of a series of annual summaries of key figures on injuries in the European Union, combining available data on mortality and morbidity. It provides a comprehensive view about this important public health problem, in particular for health policy makers, researchers in the area of public health and safety, and safety practitioners.
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